

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
JUL 07 2006

REMARKS1. Present Status of Patent Application

This is a full and timely response to the outstanding final Office Action mailed February 8, 2006. Reconsideration and allowance of the application and presently pending claims are respectfully requested.

2. Telephone Interview

Applicant first wishes to express his sincere appreciation for the time that Examiner Reilly spent with Applicant's Attorney, Mr. Charles W. Griggers, during a telephone discussion on March 23, 2006 regarding the outstanding Office Action. Specifically, the teachings of the cited references were discussed in relation to previously pending claims and proposed amendments were also discussed. During the discussion, a consensus was not regarding the patentability of the claims and the proposed amendments. Thus, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner carefully consider this response and the remarks contained herein.

3. Response to Rejection of Claims 1-37 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, First Paragraph

Claims 1-37 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, First Paragraph. Claims 1-37 are canceled without prejudice, waiver, or disclaimer, and therefore, the rejection to these claims are rendered moot. Applicant takes this action merely to reduce the number of disputed issues and to facilitate early allowance and issuance of other claims in the present application. Applicant reserves the right to pursue the subject matter of these canceled claims in a continuing application, if Applicant so chooses, and does not intend to dedicate any of the canceled subject matter to the public.

4. Response to Rejection of Claims 1-37 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, Second Paragraph

Claims 1-37 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, Second Paragraph. Claims 1-37 are canceled without prejudice, waiver, or disclaimer, and therefore, the rejection to these claims are rendered moot. Applicant takes this action merely to reduce the number of disputed issues and to facilitate early allowance and issuance of other claims in the present application. Applicant reserves the right to pursue the subject matter of these canceled claims in a continuing

application, if Applicant so chooses, and does not intend to dedicate any of the canceled subject matter to the public.

5. Response To Rejections of Claims Under 35 U.S.C. § 103

In the Office Action, claims 1-37 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over *Mousseau* (U.S. Patent No. 6,438,585) in view of *Gilhuly* (U.S. Patent No. 6,701,378). Claims 1-37 are canceled without prejudice, waiver, or disclaimer, and therefore, the rejection to these claims are rendered moot. Applicant takes this action merely to reduce the number of disputed issues and to facilitate early allowance and issuance of other claims in the present application. Applicant reserves the right to pursue the subject matter of these canceled claims in a continuing application, if Applicant so chooses, and does not intend to dedicate any of the canceled subject matter to the public.

6. Newly Added Claims

Claims 38-58 have been newly added to further define and/or clarify the scope of aspects of the present disclosure. The newly added claims are believed to be allowable over the cited art for at least the reason that claimed features are not taught or suggested by the cited art.

For example, the cited art fails to teach or suggest a gateway to a wireless communication network performing all of the functions and having all of the characteristics as that claimed in independent claims 38 and 47-49. As such, the cited art fails to teach or suggest at least the claimed features of the newly added claims.

CONCLUSION

Any statements in the Office Action that are not explicitly addressed herein are not intended to be admitted. In addition, any and all findings of inherency are traversed as not having been shown to be necessarily present. Furthermore, any and all findings of well-known art and official notice, or statements interpreted similarly, should not be considered well known for at least the specific and particular reason that the Office Action does not include specific factual findings predicated on sound technical and scientific reasoning to support such conclusions.

In light of the foregoing amendments and for at least the reasons set forth above, Applicant respectfully submits that all objections and/or rejections have been traversed, rendered moot, and/or accommodated, and that the pending claims are in condition for allowance. In addition, Applicant does not intend to admit anything regarding any other statements in the Office Action that is not explicitly referenced in this response. Favorable reconsideration and allowance of the present application and all pending claims are hereby courteously requested. If, in the opinion of the Examiner, a telephonic conference would expedite the examination of this matter, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned agent at (770) 933-9500.

Respectfully submitted,


Charles W. Griggers, Reg. No. 47,283

THOMAS, KAYDEN,
HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, L.L.P.
Suite 1750
100 Galleria Parkway N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30339
(770) 933-9500